Survey of alcohol-related presentations to Australasian emergency departments

Alcohol consumption in excess of that recommended in the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) alcohol guidelines is the norm in Australia. One in five Australians and New Zealanders drink at a level that increases their lifetime risk of alcohol-related disease or injury. Almost half of Australians aged over 18 years reported consuming an amount of alcohol on a single occasion in the preceding year that put them at an increased risk of acute injury.

Emergency physicians are at the forefront of responding to and treating the consequences of alcohol-related harm. This ranges from treating alcohol intoxication and severe injuries sustained as a direct result of intoxication, to managing the acute complications of chronic alcohol-related conditions. While emergency departments (EDs) anecdotally see a high proportion of patients with alcohol-related injuries and conditions, there are very few national or state and territory prevalence data.

At present, it is not mandatory for Australian or New Zealand EDs to screen for or collect alcohol-related presentation data. Consequently, attempts to quantify alcohol-related presentations to EDs through existing datasets are likely to provide underestimates.

The literature to date has focused largely on alcohol screening and intervention strategies, and on patients with alcohol-related injuries presenting to EDs. Australasian studies have investigated the association between injuries and alcohol consumption in EDs and found that 17%–35% of total injury presentations to EDs involved alcohol consumption.

Several small-scale prospective studies have attempted to quantify all alcohol-related presentations at a single site or local level. They found rates of alcohol-related harm ranging from 5% to 9% of all ED presentations. There have also been some site-specific studies of the impact of alcohol-related presentations on the ED workforce. Previous attempts to quantify harm on a regional level have been limited by having to rely on retrospective data and the use of diagnostic codes. These studies are likely to underestimate the true prevalence of alcohol-related presentations. For example, a Western Australian study found that alcohol-related attendances to metropolitan EDs during 2002–2006 represented around 0.8% of all ED attendances.

This study is the first large-scale, binational point prevalence study of alcohol harm in EDs to be carried out in Australia and New Zealand. The data will be used to establish the scale of alcohol-related presentations to EDs. It will provide a benchmark for further surveys, and enable informed community debate on this important public health issue.

### Methods

We conducted a survey-based point prevalence study of EDs in Australia and New Zealand using a validated point prevalence “snapshot” method previously used to study access block. All EDs in Australia and New Zealand accredited by the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM) for specialty training and non-accredited EDs that are part of the Emergency Medicine Education and Training (EMET) teaching network were included. Paediatric-only EDs were excluded from our analysis because alcohol-related presentations are rare among children. A survey instrument consisting of eight questions (Box 1) was developed and piloted by a reference group of emergency physicians and researchers.

Participating EDs were asked to nominate a site coordinator and provide a telephone number for the night of the survey. Each site coordinator was emailed the survey instrument.
and the list of definitions of alcohol-related presentations (Box 2). Site coordinators were asked to educate all staff rostered on at the time of the survey.

The clinical definition of alcohol-related presentations (Box 2) was developed by the reference group using a consensus approach. *International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision* (ICD-10) codes for alcohol intoxication were used. Broadly, the definition included presentations that were directly or indirectly related to alcohol consumption, as judged by the senior doctor in the ED at the time of the survey. Direct presentations were divided into injuries (intentional and unintentional), intoxication and medical conditions related to alcohol use. Indirect presentations were for intentional or unintentional injuries caused by a third party who was affected by alcohol. This definition was used as a guide for data collectors only. Individual types of alcohol harm were not recorded as this would have increased complexity and possibly reduced the response rate.

The survey was conducted at 02:00 local time, on Saturday 14 December 2013. The time and date was chosen by the researchers as being feasible for ED clinicians to complete the survey. The site coordinators received a reminder email the day before, and a short message service text message at 01:30 on the survey date. Data could be returned by fax, email or telephone. Where data were not returned by 02:10 local time, sites were contacted by telephone and further follow-up was undertaken in order to maximise response rates.

The Australian Capital Territory Health Department (ACT Health) Human Research and Ethics Committee’s Low Risk Subcommittee approved this study, and site-specific governance approval was obtained. The primary outcome was the proportion of alcohol-related presentations in each ED at that point in time. For analysis, EDs were stratified by role delineation and by state and country. To maintain confidentiality and statistical meaning, we report aggregate data only. Data analysis was by descriptive statistics. Comparisons were undertaken using $\chi^2$ and $t$ tests, as appropriate.

**Results**

All 126 ACEM-accredited hospitals in Australia and New Zealand were invited to participate. Seven of these (mostly paediatric and private hospitals) declined. A further nine non-accredited hospitals also agreed to submit data. At the time of the survey, a further two hospitals declined, and 22 did not provide data, as shown in Box 3. The 106 responding hospitals identified 2766 patients in EDs at 02:00, of whom 395 (14.3%; 95% CI, 13.0%–15.6%) had presented because of alcohol consumption. This is an average number of patients per ED of 3.8 in Australia and 4.0 in New Zealand (Box 4). The overall differences between Australia and New Zealand were only of borderline significance, with overlapping 95% CIs ($P = 0.05$). Breakdown of rates by jurisdiction and role delineation are shown in Box 5.

The distribution of alcohol-related presentations among hospitals was skewed toward the left, with a range from zero (one New Zealand hospital, eight non-paediatric Australian hospitals) to 15 (one Australian hospital) and a median of two, with proportions ranging from 0 to 50% and a median of 12.5%. In total, one New Zealand hospital and nine Australian hospitals (representing five states and territories) reported their prevalence of alcohol-related presentations to be more than a third of patients in the ED.

**Discussion**

In this study, we quantified the point prevalence of patients with alcohol-related harm presenting to EDs on a binational scale. The study is representative of adult hospitals with an ED in Australia and New Zealand, having achieved an excellent geographic and role-related response rate. Our finding that one in seven patients in EDs in Australia and one in six in New Zealand present for reasons related to alcohol consumption indicates...
that previous research has underestimated the amount of alcohol-related harm presenting to Australasian EDs. It is notable that the prevalence was higher in Western Australia and much higher in the Northern Territory than in New South Wales and Victoria. Similarly, the much higher prevalence in major referral compared with urban district hospitals in Australia was expected, although it is of interest that this pattern was not repeated in New Zealand. Almost all EDs responding to this survey (91% in Australia and 93% in New Zealand) had at least one, and in some cases up to 15, alcohol-related presentations at the time of the data collection. This shows that alcohol-related harms are widespread and not just confined to metropolitan “hot-spots”.

In the absence of national datasets in Australia or New Zealand on alcohol-related presentations to EDs, our point prevalence study provides important evidence on the extent of the impact of alcohol misuse and the resultant impact on the acute health care sector.

Our study has several potential limitations. It represents a single point in time and, while providing an estimate of point prevalence, incidence cannot be estimated. The prevalence is likely to change over the time of day, day of the week and perhaps seasonally. We performed the survey in the pre-Christmas period, and this may have resulted in a higher proportion of alcohol-related presentations than might occur at other times of the year. Collecting more precise prevalence data would further clarify the extent of presentations of patients with alcohol-related harms to EDs, and inform preventive strategies and interventions. We therefore intend to undertake further research, including a 7-day prevalence study.

Non-responder bias may have resulted in EDs with differing rates of presentation of patients with alcohol-related harm not completing the survey. EDs with a low census of alcohol-related presentations may have been less motivated to respond compared with those with a high census. While our definition of “alcohol-related” was based on ICD-10 codes, there is no internationally validated definition. This may have resulted in an underestimate of alcohol-related harm.

Measurement errors related to the definition of alcohol-related harm may have occurred. Ascertainment bias may have occurred with patients who appeared intoxicated being assumed to be intoxicated with alcohol, whereas their symptoms may instead have been caused primarily by other drugs. While our definition did include indirect alcohol-related harm, it is likely that the data collection method would underestimate the true prevalence of indirect harm.

Despite our use of site coordinators to train responding staff, the study design meant that most data were collected by busy clinical staff. Further standardisation of the measurement and recording of alcohol-related presentations that can be implemented in the context of busy EDs would assist, but would need to be resourced.

Our study happened to coincide with Operation Unite, a proactive binational policing initiative targeting alcohol-related antisocial behaviour in precincts across Australia and New Zealand, and heightening community awareness of the issue of excessive alcohol consumption. We acknowledge the potential confounding effects of this policing and public awareness campaign on our point prevalence data, but cannot determine their extent.

Not enough is yet known about the impact of alcohol-related presentations on ED resources. While we didn’t specifically address this in our study, it is reasonable to extrapolate from our point prevalence data. Alcohol-related assaults on ED staff are common and appear to be increasing in frequency. Dealing with aggressive, intoxicated patients is resource-intensive and distressing for staff. It is likely that this will have a negative impact on the care of other patients in the ED, especially in a setting where one in three patient presentations are alcohol-related. This form of “innocent bystander” alcohol-related harm has not been quantified.

The contemporary discourse and policy response to alcohol misuse in the Australasian community emphasises law enforcement and regulatory initiatives. Our study draws attention to the important reality that alcohol misuse also has a significant impact on the health care system, as reflected in the very high prevalence of alcohol-related presentations in some EDs. As alcohol-related harm is an entirely preventable condition, and when hospitals in multiple jurisdictions report more than a third of their ED workload is due to this single cause, we contend that this represents a strong case for preventive public health interventions as a key component of a broad policy response to this issue.
Evidence-based alcohol policies, along with effective strategies and interventions to reduce alcohol-related harm, are now more available than ever before. These should inform national solutions to the widespread alcohol misuse and harms afflicting local communities across Australia and New Zealand, along with addressing current societal attitudes towards excessive drinking.
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