# Physiotherapists in the ED: Their effect on the quality of musculoskeletal care provided by the department.

Strudwick  $K^{1,2}$  (BPhty), Romany Martin<sup>1</sup> (BPhty), Coombes  $F^1$  (BPhty), Bell  $A^{3,4}$  (MBBS, FACEM, MBA, MPH, FRACMA), Martin-Khan  $M^5$  (MPH, PhD, Grad Cert (Sci)), Russell  $T^1$  (PhD, MPhty, BPhty).

- 1 School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
- 2 Emergency and Physiotherapy Departments, QEII Jubilee Hospital, Metro South Hospital and Health Service, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
- 3 The Wesley Hospital, Uniting Care Health, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
- 4 Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
- 5 Centre for Health Services Research, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia









# Physiotherapists in the ED

- Roles:
  - Primary Contact Physiotherapists (PCPs)
  - Secondary Contact Physiotherapists → via referral

(Ferreira, Traeger, O'Keeffe, Maher, 2018)

Musculoskeletal (MSK) conditions represent 10 - 15% of presentations

(Victorian Department of Health, 2010)

- Introduced to the ED to work alongside medical teams, aims to:
  - decrease wait times (Bethel, 2011)
  - improve efficiency (Kilner, 2011)
  - improve the quality of care provided (Lebec and Jogodka, 2009)



# **Primary Contact Physiotherapists (PCP)**

- PCP role was introduced with extended clinical autonomy (Anaf & Sheppard, 2007)
- Justification:
  - musculoskeletal expertise, clinical reasoning and autonomy in other settings (Farrel, 2014; Barrett and Terry, 2018)
- Since their introduction, research has demonstrated that PCPs:
  - More time efficient than other practitioners (Thompson et al, 2014)
  - Decreased waiting times and length of stay (Gill and Stella, 2013; de Gruchy, Granger, Gorelik, 2015; Ferreira et al, 2018)
  - Practise with comparable independence and safety (de Grunchy, Granger, Gorelik, 2015; Sayer et al, 2018)
  - Achieve similar patient satisfaction (Schulz et al, 2016; Taylor et al, 2011, Sheppard, Anaf, Gordon, 2010; Harding et al, 2015)
  - Are perceived by their colleagues and patients as being
    - experts in musculoskeletal care
    - responsible for conservative and non-pharmacological pain management
    - activity resumption with a focus on exercise therapy (Ferreira, Traeger, O'Keeffe, Maher, 2018)



# **Secondary Contact Physiotherapists**

- Physios working in the ED via a referral system
- Common in Australian EDs (Lefmann and Crane, 2016)
- Considered as expert additional clinical support for medical teams, for example:
  - vestibular management (Lefmann and Crane, 2016)
  - mobility reviews for falls prevention (Kilner and Sheppard, 2010; Ferreira, Traeger, O'Keefe, Maher, 2018)
  - outpatient referrals and discharge (Lefmann and Sheppard, 2014; Ferreira, Traeger, O'Keefe, Maher, 2018)



## **Exposure to Physios...**

- Another role perceived by other ED clinicians:
  - Teaching and training of medical and nursing staff
  - Benefit from interdisciplinary engagement with experts in conservative musculoskeletal management (Coyle and Gill, 2014)



## **Gap in the literature**

- Individual performance of physiotherapists has been validated
  - (Kilner, 2011; Gill and Stella, 2013, Schulz, Prescott, Shifman, Fiore, Holland, Harding, 2016)

- No research regarding the influence of physios on the ED
  - Outcome of interest → given the justification for their initial introduction



## **Aim and Hypothesis**

• Aim →

To investigate the quality of care provided by EDs with physiotherapy services compared to those without, using established MSK process and outcome Quality Indicators (QIs)





## Methods - DESIGN

- \*Data was collected by Strudwick et al.'s study -> established a suite of QIs (Strudwick et al, 2019)
  - power calculations, participant groups, data collection, research staff, participant recruitment, data management
- Cohort Study → Convenience sample = 633 patients (from 8 EDs across QLD)
- Adult patients whose 'presenting complaint' was triaged as 'potential musculoskeletal origin'

| Clinician Types                                   |         | Frequency |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Senior House Officer/Junior House Officer/Interns | SHO/JHO | 209       |
| Registrar/Principal House Officers                | Reg/PHO | 64        |
| Consultants/Senior Medical Officers               | Con/SMO | 58        |
| Nurse Practitioners                               | NP      | 206       |
| Nurse Practitioner Candidates                     | NPCan   | 14        |
| Primary Contact Physiotherapists                  | РСР     | 77        |

## **Methods – MEASURES (Quality Indicators)**

#### 31 Quality Indicators

- Established in Strudwick et al.
- A binary scoring system, where the interaction of service delivery either satisfies all criteria required (i.e. 'triggers' the QI), or does not



# **Methods – MEASURES (Quality Indicators)**

#### Process (n=25)

- Assessment
- Diagnostics
- Fracture Management
- Mobility
- Referrals and follow-up

#### Outcomes (n=6)

- Timeliness
- Representations
- Patient experience



Create change

# **Methods – MEASURES (Quality Indicators)**

- Binary scores → "trigger rates" (i.e. percentages)
  - patients who triggered (i.e. fulfilled) the indicator event (i.e. the numerator)
  - divided by the total count of eligible patients (i.e. the denominator).
- The larger the percentage on a positive QI, the better the performance of that ED.

 $\frac{x}{y}$   $\leftarrow$  number of interactions which meet criteria  $\leftarrow$  total number of interactions



## Methods – ANALYSIS

- Comparisons of QI Scores:
  - Grouping of Binary QIs scored based on what setting then occurred in, NOT the clinician
  - EDs with Physiotherapists Compared To EDs Without
  - EDs with PCPs Compared to EDs Without



## What we found – RESULTS

- EDs with Physiotherapists Compared To EDs Without
  - EDs with Physios had 9 significant findings
  - EDs without Physios had 2 significant findings
  - No significant findings on 20 QIs
- EDs with PCPs Compared to EDs Without
  - EDs with PCPs had 10 significant findings
  - EDs without PCPs had 0 significant findings
  - No significant findings on 21 QIs
- All findings are to be published in the paper (please contact corresponding author)



## **EDs with Physiotherapists Compared To EDs Without**

|  | In favour of |                    |
|--|--------------|--------------------|
| Significant Results  |              | WITHOUT<br>PHYSIOS |
| A basic neurological examination was undertaken (spinal injuries)                                  | ✓            |                    |
| Time interval from x-ray request to x-ray achieved   | ✓            |                    |
| Discussion with senior medical decision maker regarding post-reduction alignment on x-ray          | ✓            |                    |
| Mobility assessed prior to discharge for mobility impaired patients                                | ✓            |                    |
| Provision of written patient information on injury documented                                      | ✓            |                    |
| Discharge letter contained vital information (diagnosis, clinical findings, acute management plan) | ✓            |                    |
| Referral for selected soft tissue injuries requiring early rehabilitation                          | ✓            |                    |
| Overall positive patient experience  | ✓            |                    |
| Patients felt they were given the "right amount" of information about their condition              | ✓            |                    |
| Fracture clinic referrals from ED that state vital information for triaging purposes               |              | ✓                  |
| A neurovascular physical examination was undertaken (peripheral injuries)                          |              | ✓                  |

## **EDs with PCPs Compared to EDs Without**

|  | In favour of |             |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| Significant Results  | EDs WITH     | EDs WITHOUT |
|  | PCPs         | PCPs        |
| Taking a social and functional history   | <b>✓</b>     |             |
| Time interval from x-ray indicated to x-ray request  | $\checkmark$ |             |
| Discussion with senior medical decision maker regarding post-reduction alignment on x-ray          | ✓            |             |
| Mobility assessed prior to discharge for mobility impaired patients                                | ✓            |             |
| Provision of written information regarding driving safety was documented                           | ✓            |             |
| Provision of written patient information on injury documented                                      | ✓            |             |
| Discharge letter contained vital information (diagnosis, clinical findings, acute management plan) | ✓            |             |
| Referral for selected soft tissue injuries requiring early rehabilitation                          | ✓            |             |
| Overall positive patient experience  | ✓            |             |
| Patients felt they were given the "right amount" of information about their condition              | ✓            |             |

# **Discussion – ED Physios**

 QIs in favour of EDs with physios aligned closely to the foundation skills of physiotherapy including patient education, mobility assessments and rehabilitation referrals

(Bethel, 2005; Kilner, 2011)

- explained by the scope of practice of physiotherapy
  - which prioritises efforts on return to function



## **Discussion – Why does the DEPARTMENT improve?**

- May be attributed to:
  - Specialised MSK clinician
    - which decreases the work load of other ED clinicians (Kilner and Sheppard, 2010)
  - Exposure to other protocols (Teaching and Learning)
    - Changes routine practices for MSK care in the ED (Crane and Delany, 2013)
  - Care Coordination skills
    - clinical reasoning required to refer and prevent unnecessary hospitalisation (e.g. including the processes mandatory for quality discharge such as mobility assessment and rehabilitation referrals) (Anaf and Sheppard, 2007)



## **Discussion – Qualitative Outcomes**

- Presence of physiotherapists? increase number of patients who:
  - had an overall positive experience
  - felt that they were given the 'right amount' of information
- Copiously replicated in the literature

(Schulz et al, 2016, Taylor et al, 2011; Kilner and Sheppard, 2010; Sheppard et al, 2010; Harding et al, 2015)



## **Discussion – Limitations**

- Only eight hospitals located within a single state of Australia
  - not generalisable
  - selection bias was minimised by selecting a representative sample
  - (i.e. tertiary, urban/district and regional)
- ED group with a physiotherapy service/PCPs was not a homogenous group
  - Inconsistent number of physiotherapists and hours of service delivery
  - potentially under-representing the impact an ED with a well-serviced physiotherapy model of care can have on the quality of care provided by the wider department
- QIs confined to musculoskeletal injury assessment/management
  - do not measure the other areas where physio can add value
  - (i.e. geriatric medicine, vestibular/neurological assessments)



## Conclusion

 A physiotherapy service in the ED can result in improved clinical performance across the ED by all staff when providing care to patients with musculoskeletal injuries.

#### These findings:

- support and advocate for the roles of physiotherapists
- have implications for future ED staffing structures and models of care



#### **Further Information:**

Romany Martin (corresponding author) romany.martin@uq.edu.au

Kirsten Strudwick <a href="mailto:Kirsten.strudwick@health.qld.gov.au">Kirsten.strudwick@health.qld.gov.au</a>

Prof. Trevor Russel t.russell@uq.edu.au

#### **Declaration of Interest:**

The authors report no conflict of interest









Create change