WHISTLEBLOWER POLICY

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

A whistleblower policy is an important element in detecting corrupt, illegal or other undesirable conduct. It is expected that all members and College staff will conduct themselves in a manner consistent with current community and College standards and in compliance with all related legislation.

The purpose of this policy is to promote a culture of corporate compliance, honesty and ethical behaviour and to provide an environment where any potential, perceived or actual wrongdoing within the College or by the College, its members or staff can be raised by members or staff freely without fear of reprisal or retribution. This will be achieved by:

- minimising the risk of illegal, corrupt or other improper conduct within the College through appropriate policies, procedures, regulations and good governance practices
- creating an environment of transparency
- encouraging staff and members to report their concerns, and
- establishing procedures which enable protection for those reporting their concerns and enabling resolution of the issue/s identified.

This policy applies to all staff (including contractors and consultants) and all ACEM members (as defined herein).

2. DEFINITIONS

*College Member*
For the purposes of this policy, includes those defined in the ACEM Membership Regulations as being ‘members’ of the College, trainees, non-specialist trainees, specialist international emergency medicine graduates (SIMGs) undertaking College requirements for the purposes of obtaining specialist recognition in Australia or New Zealand, and any external person serving on any College entity.

*Whistleblower*
A person who reports serious wrongdoing in accordance with this policy.

*Whistleblowing*
Means the disclosure by or for a witness of actual, suspected or anticipated wrongdoing in the College that relates to fraud corruption, illegal activities, gross mismanagement, malpractice or other serious wrongdoing.

3. REPORTING

3.1 Making a report

The College has a number of channels through which a person who becomes aware of suspected or actual improper conduct may make a report.

In the first instance, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) shall receive any reports of alleged wrongdoing. If the allegations involve the CEO, the matter should be referred to the President.
The College has appointed an Independent External Reviewer to assist individuals who are concerned that initiating a complaint through regular College processes may result in a breach of confidentiality or privacy or reprisal or retribution. Details of the functions of the Independent External Reviewer are contained in the College Independent External Reviewer Policy (COR642).

For workplace grievances, College staff should report these instances in accordance with the College’s Grievance Policy and Procedure.

It is appropriate to make a whistleblower’s report when the disclosure relates to conduct that:

- is fraudulent or corrupt
- is an abuse of public trust
- is illegal, such as theft, drug sale or use, violence, harassment, criminal damage to property or other serious breaches of Australian and New Zealand legislation laws
- is unethical, such as acting dishonestly, altering College records without cause or permission
- making false entries in College records, engaging in questionable financial practices
- is contrary to, or a serious breach of, College codes and policies
- breaches the College Code of Conduct that are not appropriate to discuss with the staff member’s supervisor/manager/director or Human Resources
- is potentially damaging to the College, by compromising its training and educational activities, or
- represents significant breaches of occupational health and safety laws.

A report may be made verbally or in writing. A person making a report should have reasonable grounds for believing that the information provided is accurate. The report should include as much information and evidence as possible, including where possible information on:

- the nature of the alleged improper conduct has occurred.
- when and how the alleged conduct occurred.
- the people involved in the conduct

3.2 Anonymity

A whistleblower may make a report anonymously. However, an anonymous report makes it more difficult to investigate the report and take appropriate action. As such, anonymous reports will be examined, but may not be able to be progressed to the extent that would otherwise be possible. For this reason, ACEM would prefer the whistleblower to disclose their identity.

Alternatively, the whistleblower could provide their name and contact details and request that their details be kept confidential. In such circumstances, ACEM will, as far as reasonably possible, protect the person’s identity unless we are legally required to disclose it. If it is necessary to identify the whistleblower, this will first be discussed with the whistleblower, where practicable.

Any such report should be in writing and include as much information as possible so as not to compromise the ability to fully investigate the report.

3.3 False Reporting

Anyone making a report must act in good faith and have reasonable grounds for believing the information disclosed constitutes improper conduct as stated in clause 3.1.

If it is found that a whistleblower has knowingly made a false or vexatious report or not made a report in good faith, then that conduct itself will be considered a serious matter and may lead to disciplinary action, including termination of employment or ACEM membership.
3.4 Confidentiality

The College commits to absolute confidentiality, fairness and impartiality in respect of any matter raised under this policy and, pursuant to clause 3.2 and as far as it is legally possible, will protect the whistleblower’s identity. The College will not make public any information unless:

- the person making the report gives consent
- the disclosure is required or authorised by law, or
- the disclosure is necessary as part of the investigation process.

Reports may be submitted anonymously. However, this may have significant limitations on a full and complete investigation occurring and will impact whistleblower protection under legislation and this policy.

It should be noted that maintaining confidentiality of the identity of the whistleblower may be difficult where the nature of the matter points to one particular individual and others may be able to deduce their identity.

3.5 Protection of Whistleblowers

The College is committed to providing protection to those who bring a disclosure of wrongdoing. In Australia, Part 9.4AAA of the Corporations Act 2001 (as amended) provides information on protection for whistleblowers. The Protected Disclosures Act 2000 provides the corresponding information in New Zealand.

The act of whistleblowing should not protect whistleblowers from the consequences of any involvement in the improper conduct disclosed in the report. Involvement in the improper conduct may lead to disciplinary action, including termination of employment or ACEM membership. However, an admission may be a mitigating factor when considering disciplinary action.

3.6 Protection from Retaliation

ACEM will not tolerate any retaliation against a person because they have made a report under this policy and is committed to protecting and respecting the rights of a person who reports any wrongdoing in good faith.

The person (or entity) must not be disadvantaged or victimised for having made the report by:

- dismissal or termination of services or supply
- demotion
- any form of discrimination or harassment, current or future bias or threats of any kind.

Any such retaliatory action or victimisation due to whistleblowing will be treated seriously and may be considered serious misconduct resulting in disciplinary action, which may include termination of employment or ACEM membership.

Note that where a whistleblower makes a disclosure in accordance with this policy, it will not prevent management from taking any reasonable management action, carried out in a reasonable manner, to manage the conduct and/or performance of College staff, where this is warranted.

4. INVESTIGATION PROCESS

All reports of alleged or suspected wrongdoing received will be initially assessed as to the seriousness of the report. Factors relating to the seriousness may include, but are not limited to:

- the gravity of the conduct itself
- the context in which the conduct occurred
- the extent of the potential or actual consequence/s, or
• the potential to expose systematic wrongdoing beyond the allegation made.

On receipt of a report, ACEM has the discretion to determine whether it is appropriate to conduct an investigation. ACEM may decide not to investigate a report if it is considered that:

• The alleged conduct is not reportable conduct under this policy
• The report is not made in good faith or is frivolous, vexatious or malicious
• The report is made anonymously and there is insufficient information to undertake an investigation
• The subject matter of the report has been satisfactorily dealt with or resolved through another grievance or reporting procedure
• Some other more appropriate procedure is available in relation to the matter – for example, the matter may be able to be reported through Workplace Health and safety reporting mechanisms.

4.1 Conduct of Investigation

An investigation will generally be conducted if the matter is deemed to be serious. However, the investigation process will vary, depending on the nature of the report and the amount of information provided.

In some cases, an external investigator/s may be appointed to conduct the investigation. Terms of reference for the external investigation may be developed in order to provide guidance and a reference tool. The investigators will be able to consult with any other external expert advisors as considered necessary.

All investigations will be conducted in a fair, independent and timely manner that affords procedural fairness to all involved.

If the reported matter involves an allegation of a criminal nature, the matter may be referred directly to the College solicitor and/or appropriate authorities. If the matter is deemed to be of a minor nature, College regulations, policies and the Constitution shall be used to address the issue.

4.2 Person against whom the report is made

The College recognises that individuals against whom a report is made must also be supported during the handling and investigation of the allegation. The College will take reasonable action to ensure that the person who is the subject of the allegations is treated fairly and that procedural fairness is applied.

Once an investigation has commenced, then the person who is the subject of the allegations should be informed that an investigation has commenced and have the opportunity to respond to any allegations made.

4.3 Reporting of Findings

On completion of an investigation, a written report of the findings will be provided by the Investigator/s to the Board. The report should summarise the conduct of the investigation and the evidence collected and should detail any conclusions drawn, as well as making recommendation/s for response by the College.

If any wrongdoing has been found to have occurred, the Board will take appropriate action, either on an internal basis or refer the matter to an appropriate external body.

The College will also take reasonable steps to review policies and processes to prevent the conduct, which is the subject of the report, continuing or occurring in the future.

Where applicable, the Board may provide feedback to the person who made the initial report regarding the progress of the investigation and/or the final outcome.
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